Protecting the IoT Against Data Leaks through Intra-Process Access Control

Marcela S. Melara, David Liu, Edward W. Felten, Michael J. Freedman **Princeton University**

Background

Third-party libraries are extremely prevalent in the IoT:

- 99% of studied IoT applications import at least one third-party library
- Out of top 50 studied Python libraries:

Written in Python	18.0%
Have native dependencies	76.0%

Table 1: Library implementation language.

Run external binaries	
Use ctypes FFI	

Table 2: Used dynamic language features.

Problem: Developers do not/cannot inspect and vet imported third-party code

 \Rightarrow security & privacy vulnerabilities go undetected

Motivating Threats:

- Our experiments: successfully modified function pointers, local variables in the Python runtime call stack from (native) libraries.
- Reported vulnerabilities in Python libraries 2012-2017:

Vulnerability Class	# of Reports
Data Leak	15
Arbitrary Code Execution	12
Symlink Attack	5

Table 3: Top 3 Python library vulnerabilities out of 48 analyzed CVE database reports We identified 35 distinct vulnerable Python libraries.

Our goal: Prevent malicious third-party libraries from accessing sensors or data other than those intended by the developer.

Prior Work: Why can't we apply isolation solutions for Android or IoT?

- Isolate libs into separate apps, apply Android permissions (e.g. [1-3]) \Rightarrow Linux-based IoT doesn't have built-in mandatory access control
- Android native library isolation:

 \Rightarrow SFI [4] requires access to library source code

- \Rightarrow hardware fault isolation [5] is platform-dependent
- Prior IoT solns: poor usability for developers [6] and end users [7]

References:

- [2] S. Shekhar, M. Dietz, and D. S. Wallach. AdSplit: Separating smartphone advertising from applications. USENIX Security, 2012. [3] M. Sun and G. Tan. NativeGuard: Protecting Android applications from third-party native libraries. WiSec, 2014.
- [4] E. Athanasopoulos, V. P. Kemerlis, G. Portokalidis, and A. D. Keromytis. NaClDroid: Native code isolation for android applications. ESORICS, 2016.
- [5] J. Seo, D. Kim, D. Cho, T. Kim, I. Shin, and X. Jiang. FlexDroid: Enforcing In-App Privilege Separation in Android. NDSS, 2016.
- [6] E. Fernandes, J. Paupore, A. Rahmati, D. Simionato, M. Conti, and A. Prakash. FlowFence: Practical data protection for emerging IoT application frameworks. USENIX Security, 2016. [7] Y. J. Jia, Q. A. Chen, S. Wang, A. Rahmati, E. Fernan- des, Z. M. Mao, and A. Prakash. ContexloT: Towards providing contextual integrity to appified IoT platforms. NDSS, 2017.

Approach

Provide an **access control framework** at the granularity of libraries (intra-process) that dynamically adjust privilege based on the execution context.

40.0% 40.0%



Fig. 1: High-level System Architecture.

Access Control Semantics:

- Ref. monitor makes access decisions on a per-thread basis
- Developer specifies initial permissions of top-level libraries
- Lower-level modules "inherit" the permissions of the closest known caller module at runtime
- System calls are allowed/denied based on the resulting permissions of all libraries in the call stack (i.e. the provenance of the syscall)

main application camlib.capture libmmal.so

fopen("/dev/video0", "rb") Developer policy: camlib CAMERA READ requests NETWORK SEND "mycloud.com"

Fig. 2: Two sample call stacks. The developer's policy permits the syscall in the left call stack, but denies the network connection from the camera library.

Native Code Isolation Mechanism:

- Isolate native third-party libraries into their own memory address space \Rightarrow Prevent native libraries from manipulating a thread's call stack info
- Runtime detects calls to third-party native libraries and runs each in a separate process

Stack Inspection:

- app thread
- secure comm. channel



• Stack Tracer thread in runtime collects paused thread's call stack info \Rightarrow pass to reference monitor through a

• Reference monitor intercepts relevant syscalls and pauses the corresponding



^[1] P. Pearce, A. P. Felt, G. Nunez, and D. Wagner. AdDroid: Privilege separation for applications and advertisers in Android. ASIACCS, 2012.